

Recognizing the Signs of Human Trafficking

Identification Indicators for the Public and Professionals

Prepared for: Forged in the Fire Knowledge Base

Citation Style: APA, 7th Edition

Educational resource — not legal, medical, or clinical advice

This publication is provided for educational and informational purposes only. It is not legal advice, medical advice, mental health advice, or a substitute for trained professional support. Individuals who may be experiencing trafficking or exploitation should contact the National Human Trafficking Hotline at 1-888-373-7888, text 233733, or visit humantraffickinghotline.org. If there is immediate danger, call 911.

Recognizing the Signs of Human Trafficking: Context, Indicators, and Safe Response

Prepared for: Forged in the Fire Knowledge Base Topic: Recognition of human trafficking situations and protocols for safe response by community members and professionals Citation style: APA, 7th edition

Executive Summary

Recognition of human trafficking is one of the most misunderstood elements of anti-trafficking practice. Decades of awareness campaigns have produced public familiarity with isolated "warning signs"—zip ties on cars, drugged flowers, abducted shoppers in parking lots—virtually none of which reflect documented trafficking dynamics in the United States (Polaris Project, 2022). Empirical data and federal practice converge on a different proposition: indicators by themselves are not meaningful, and recognition depends on context, relationship, and pattern (DHS, n.d.; Polaris Project, n.d.-a). Most identified survivors were trafficked by people they knew, often a romantic partner, family member, employer, or acquaintance, and most situations involve psychological coercion rather than physical confinement (Polaris Project, 2017; Reid, 2016).

This paper is intended to support community members, frontline professionals, and Forged in the Fire staff in moving from a "signs" mindset to a "story and context" mindset. It synthesizes federal and peer-reviewed guidance on indicators across settings (healthcare, schools, workplaces, hospitality, transportation, child welfare, and online environments), describes safe response protocols, addresses the documented harms of misidentification—particularly for Black, Latina, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, immigrant, and unhoused individuals—and outlines an evidence-informed framework for community education that does not produce harmful "tip" behavior, false reports, or vigilante action.

I. Introduction

Public awareness of human trafficking has expanded substantially in the United States since the passage of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (TVPA), but awareness has not necessarily produced accurate recognition. National polling commissioned by Polaris Project found that the gap between "awareness" of trafficking and "understanding" of it remains wide, with majorities of Americans still associating trafficking primarily with kidnapping or stranger abduction, and identifying recognition strategies built around viral imagery rather than evidence (Polaris Project, 2022). The U.S. National Human Trafficking Hotline regularly devotes significant resources to addressing false reports generated by misidentification, including widely circulated rumors that have produced zero documented cases over multi-year hotline analyses (Polaris Project, 2022).

For Forged in the Fire, this matters in three concrete ways. First, the public the organization will educate has likely been exposed to inaccurate "sign" lists, and effective programming will need to gently correct them. Second, Forged in the Fire will work with referrals from community members, employers, healthcare workers, and school staff whose recognition skills directly affect survivor safety. Third, recognition must be paired with safe response, because well-intentioned but uncoordinated action can endanger both the person being trafficked and the bystander.

II. Definitions and Scope

For purposes of this paper, "recognition" refers to the process by which a community member or professional identifies a situation as potentially involving human trafficking and connects it with trained responders who can assess and intervene. It does not require certainty, professional credentialing, or independent confirmation. Federal guidance is consistent that members of the public are not expected to confirm trafficking; they are expected to observe carefully, report responsibly, and avoid actions that could compromise safety (DHS, n.d.).

Trafficking is defined under federal law as a "severe form of trafficking in persons" (22 U.S.C. § 7102), with the Action–Means–Purpose (AMP) framework providing the federal teaching model: an Action (recruit, harbor, transport, provide, obtain, patronize, or solicit) combined with a Means (force, fraud, or coercion) for a Purpose (commercial sex or labor and services). Minors in commercial sex are categorically considered trafficking victims regardless of "means" (22 U.S.C. § 7102; DHS, n.d.).

The scope of this paper covers (a) general indicators applicable across settings, (b) sector-specific indicators relevant to common identification points (healthcare, schools, hospitality, transportation, retail, child welfare, faith communities, and online environments), (c) protocols for safe response, and (d) misidentification dynamics and their consequences. It does not provide investigative or screening tools intended for law enforcement or licensed clinicians, both of which require professional training beyond the scope of community education.

III. Current Research and Data

Three data points are foundational to a research-grounded approach to recognition. First, the National Human Trafficking Hotline received 32,309 signals in 2024, with cumulative data of 463,109 signals and 112,822 identified cases since 2007 (Polaris Project, 2025; Polaris Project, n.d.-b). This is one of the largest publicly available trafficking data sets in the United States and consistently demonstrates that most cases involve psychological coercion, prior relationships between trafficker and victim, and protracted control rather than acute kidnapping events (Polaris Project, 2017).

Second, multiple peer-reviewed studies show that 28% to 50% of trafficking victims encountered a healthcare professional during their exploitation, and the majority were not identified during those encounters (Lederer & Wetzel, 2014; Chisolm-Straker et al., 2016). This finding is the empirical foundation for healthcare-based screening initiatives such as HEAL Trafficking's PEARR Tool and the federal SOAR (Stop, Observe, Ask, Respond) framework administered by the National Human Trafficking Training and Technical Assistance Center (HEAL Trafficking, n.d.; National Human Trafficking Training and Technical Assistance Center [NHTTAC], n.d.).

Third, research on misidentification documents serious downstream harm. A scoping review on racism in trafficking healthcare found pervasive provider bias, including victim blaming, "adultification" of Black girls, criminalization of survivors of color, and "invisibility" of BIPOC survivors who do not match cultural templates of victimization (Phillips et al., 2024). The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) similarly documented widespread inability among law enforcement officers to identify local trafficking cases and resulting denial of services (NIJ, 2014). Misidentification therefore operates in two directions: failing to identify actual victims, and falsely identifying nonvictims, both with documented harm.

The Polaris Project (2022) "Human Trafficking Rumors" analysis, drawing on hotline records from January 2015 to August 2022, documented zero confirmed trafficking cases attributable to widely

circulated rumors—including the "zip-tie on car door," "drugged flowers in parking lots," and "human trafficking shopping cart" memes. These analyses are widely cited and demonstrate the public-safety cost of inaccurate recognition messaging.

IV. Legal and Policy Framework

Recognition is shaped by several legal and policy structures. The TVPA and federal trafficking statutes (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) provide the substantive criminal definitions; recognition by community members feeds into law enforcement investigation and prosecution under those statutes (DOJ, 2024). The Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) and Office on Trafficking in Persons (OTIP) provide federal funding and technical assistance that shapes service responses to identified individuals (OVC, n.d.; ACF, n.d.).

Mandated reporting laws are particularly relevant for professional recognition. Under California's Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act (Cal. Pen. Code § 11164 et seq.), professionals including teachers, healthcare providers, social workers, clergy in many circumstances, and law enforcement are required to report suspected child abuse and neglect, including commercial sexual exploitation of minors. Failure to report is itself a misdemeanor offense. Adult trafficking generally is not subject to a separate California mandated reporting requirement, though overlapping mandates (elder abuse, dependent adult abuse) may apply (California Department of Social Services [CDSS], 2023).

Federal hotline reporting is voluntary for most community members. The National Human Trafficking Hotline (1-888-373-7888 or text 233733) provides confidential, multilingual, 24/7 access for tips and survivor support; it is operated by Polaris Project and federally funded (Polaris Project, n.d.-c). The Department of Homeland Security operates the HSI Tip Line at 1-866-347-2423 for trafficking-related tips and connects to investigators at U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (DHS, n.d.). Both lines emphasize that callers should not approach suspected traffickers or potential victims directly.

In healthcare contexts, identification protocols are increasingly required by regulation, accreditation, or state law. California Health and Safety Code § 1257.7 requires certain hospitals to provide human trafficking awareness training to specified staff. The Joint Commission and various state nursing and medical boards now incorporate trafficking-related training into licensure or accreditation. SOAR training has been completed by tens of thousands of professionals nationally (NHTTAC, n.d.).

In schools, California Education Code § 51934 includes human trafficking awareness within mandated comprehensive sexual health education for students in grades 7–12, and § 49414.4 references trafficking in school health curricula. School-based identification is one of the most consistent identification points for minor trafficking nationally (ACF, n.d.; Reid et al., 2017).

V. Risk Factors and Vulnerabilities Relevant to Recognition

Effective recognition requires understanding that traffickers target specific vulnerabilities. Recognition therefore is more likely when professionals or community members understand the risk profile of the populations they routinely encounter. As detailed in the foundational paper of this knowledge base, the most well-documented risk factors include prior childhood abuse and neglect, foster care involvement, juvenile justice involvement, homelessness or housing instability, undocumented or precarious

immigration status, language barriers, untreated mental health and substance use conditions, LGBTQ+ identity in unsupportive environments, disability, and economic precarity (DOS, 2025; Murphy, 2017; Reid et al., 2017; Greeson et al., 2019; Hartinger-Saunders et al., 2020 as cited in ACF Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation [OPRE], 2020). Recognition becomes more accurate when paired with attention to why a particular environment may be a high-yield identification point.

A second principle is that recognition is rarely a single moment of insight; it is an accumulation of context, often requiring multiple touchpoints across systems before a trafficking situation is identified. Healthcare, education, child welfare, and faith communities are common identification points precisely because they see individuals over time and may notice changes in behavior, demeanor, accompaniment, or autonomy (HEAL Trafficking, n.d.; NHTTAC, n.d.).

A third principle is that recognition cannot rely on appearance, race, ethnicity, gender presentation, dress, attractiveness, or socioeconomic class. The peer-reviewed literature documents serious harm caused by stereotype-driven identification, including the under-identification of Black girls, Indigenous youth, boys, LGBTQ+ youth, adult male survivors, and labor trafficking survivors who do not match "innocent kidnapped girl" archetypes (Phillips et al., 2024; Halter, 2010; Polaris Project, 2017).

VI. Recruitment, Grooming, and Control Tactics: What Recognizers Should Understand

Accurate recognition requires understanding how trafficking actually unfolds. Most U.S. sex and labor trafficking cases proceed through a recognizable pattern of identification, grooming, isolation, dependency creation, and coerced exploitation. Recognition is more accurate when community members understand the following dynamics.

The relationship is usually not new. Many survivors describe being trafficked by a romantic partner, family member, friend, employer, recruiter, or trusted authority figure. The "predator-stranger" model is the exception, not the rule (Polaris Project, 2017; Reid, 2016).

Control is usually psychological, not physical. Trauma bonding, threats to family, debt bondage, document confiscation, immigration threats, shame manipulation, drug-induced dependency, and digital surveillance dominate the literature on control tactics (Casassa et al., 2021; Hopper, 2017). Visible bruising, restraints, or distressed appearance may be present but frequently are not.

The person may not identify as a victim. Trauma-coercive bonding, fear, identity disruption, prior negative experiences with helping systems, and the survival logic developed during exploitation often mean that the person experiencing trafficking will not say "I'm a victim" or accept help on first contact (Sanchez et al., 2019; Reid et al., 2018).

Movement is not required. A person can be trafficked in their own home, neighborhood, workplace, or familiar setting. Recognition cannot rely on detection of "transport" (DHS, n.d.; DOJ, 2024).

Online recruitment is increasingly common. Social media, gaming platforms, dating applications, and direct messaging are frequent vectors, particularly for minors and young adults. Recruitment may begin with grooming relationships that look like ordinary online friendships (NCMEC, 2024; Thorn, 2022).

These dynamics shape what recognition looks like in practice. Recognition is rarely about catching a trafficker in an act; it is about noticing that someone appears unable to make autonomous decisions

about their movement, communication, work, money, or relationships.

VII. Indicators Across Settings (Core Section)

The following synthesis aligns with federal Blue Campaign indicators (DHS, n.d.), Polaris Project's identification guidance (Polaris Project, n.d.-a), HEAL Trafficking's healthcare protocols, and OVC and NHTTAC training materials. As DHS (n.d.) emphasizes, no individual indicator is dispositive; presence or absence of any indicator does not confirm or rule out trafficking. Patterns and context drive recognition.

General behavioral and situational indicators. A person may not be free to come and go as they wish; they may live where they work or be transported between worksites by a third party; they may be accompanied by another person who answers questions on their behalf, controls their identification documents, or appears to monitor their communication; they may seem coached, scripted, fearful, hypervigilant, or unable to provide consistent information about where they live, where they work, or how long they have been there; they may show signs of physical injury, untreated medical conditions, exhaustion, or malnourishment; they may carry tattoos or branding that they describe with discomfort; they may report owing a debt to an employer, smuggler, or "sponsor" they cannot reasonably repay; they may be unable to access their own wages, bank accounts, or phone (DHS, n.d.; Polaris Project, n.d.-a).

Sex trafficking indicators. A minor present in a commercial sex environment is by federal definition a trafficking victim (22 U.S.C. § 7102). Adults may be controlled by a "boyfriend," "manager," or older "boss"; may move frequently between cities or hotels; may be advertised in ways that reflect third-party control; may be unable to identify the city they are in or where they are staying; may show signs of repeated commercial sexual exposure; may have multiple cell phones or scripted responses (Polaris Project, 2017).

Labor trafficking indicators. Workers may live where they work in employer-controlled housing; may have wages withheld, deducted for non-itemized "fees," or paid only in commissary credit; may have identification or work permits held by an employer or recruiter; may be unable to leave a worksite without permission; may report deceptive recruitment practices, fraudulent contracts, or undisclosed debt; may be afraid of immigration enforcement consequences for leaving; may show signs of untreated occupational injury (Owens et al., 2014; Polaris Project, 2017; DOL, n.d.).

Healthcare-setting indicators. A patient may be accompanied by a controlling third party who insists on speaking for them, refuses to leave the exam room, or answers medical questions; the patient may be inconsistent about address, employment, or relationship; the patient may show signs of repeated trauma, untreated chronic conditions, multiple sexually transmitted infections, traumatic injuries with implausible explanations, or branding tattoos; the patient may not know basic biographical information; the patient may be reluctant to make eye contact or respond (Lederer & Wetzel, 2014; HEAL Trafficking, n.d.). The PEARR Tool (Provide privacy, Educate, Ask, Respect, and Respond) provides a framework for trauma-informed inquiry when staff have been trained (HEAL Trafficking, n.d.).

School-setting indicators. A student may experience sudden behavioral changes; chronic absenteeism; unexplained gifts, money, electronics, or designer clothing inconsistent with family resources; a much older "boyfriend" or "girlfriend"; new tattoos with controlling language or names; sleep deprivation;

academic decline; signs of substance use; running episodes; secrecy about online relationships; or sudden interest in or contact with adults outside the family (ACF, n.d.; Reid et al., 2017).

Hospitality and transportation-setting indicators. Staff in hotels, motels, short-term rentals, airlines, ride-share, taxis, buses, and rest stops may notice patrons who do not match their accompanying party; refuse housekeeping; pay for extended stays in cash; appear afraid of accompanying adults; carry minimal personal belongings; have visible signs of fear or trauma; or appear unable to communicate freely. Industry-specific training resources are available through DHS Blue Campaign, Polaris BEST, and Airline Ambassadors International (DHS, n.d.; Polaris Project, n.d.-a).

Faith-community indicators. A community member may be accompanied by a controlling spouse, partner, or older relative; may experience pronounced isolation; may show financial dependency without a clear cause; may make statements suggesting unfreedom; or may have been brought into the community recently with limited explanation. Faith leaders are well positioned to notice changes in family or relational dynamics over time (Hodge, 2014; ACF, n.d.).

Online indicators. Adults may notice in young people excessive secrecy about online contacts; sudden new "boyfriend" or "girlfriend" met online; pressure to send explicit images; rapid escalation toward in-person meetings; "sugar daddy"-style exchange of money or gifts; threats around content that has already been shared; and platform-specific patterns including grooming through gaming voice chats, livestreaming, or direct-message escalation (NCMEC, 2024; Thorn, 2022).

In every setting, the absence of overt distress does not rule out trafficking. Survivors frequently appear calm, composed, polite, and even cheerful in public. The most reliable indicator is the absence of autonomy—the inability to make independent decisions about basic life conditions—visible across multiple touchpoints.

VIII. Survivor Impact Considerations for Recognition

Recognition that prioritizes survivor safety and dignity is more accurate and more ethical. The following considerations, drawn from peer-reviewed literature on trauma and survivor experience, should shape how recognition is approached.

Survivors disclose in fragments, over time, as safety and trust accumulate. A single encounter with a recognizer is rarely sufficient for full disclosure (Hopper, 2017; Hickle, 2017). Pressure to disclose can replicate coercion and be experienced as another form of control. Trauma-coercive bonding may produce visible loyalty to the trafficker, including denial of victimization, defense of the trafficker, or active resistance to intervention (Casassa et al., 2021; Sanchez et al., 2019). This is not evidence of consent or complicity; it is a documented adaptation to coercion.

Survivors are often hyperaware of being observed, judged, or set up. Recognition behaviors that are public, accusatory, or visibly suspicious may produce additional risk. Recognition behaviors that are private, respectful, and offered as an option rather than an interrogation are more consistent with the empirical literature on disclosure (HEAL Trafficking, n.d.).

Past experiences with helping systems have often been negative. Survivors may have prior encounters with law enforcement (including arrests for offenses committed under coercion), child welfare (including separation from siblings or children), healthcare (including dismissal or stigma), or immigration enforcement (including detention or deportation threats). Recognizers who acknowledge that history

rather than dismiss it are more likely to facilitate connection (NSN, n.d.; Hopper, 2017).

IX. Service and Intervention Models for Safe Response

Federal and field guidance is unanimous on a small number of core principles for safe response.

Do not approach a suspected trafficker. Direct confrontation can endanger the bystander and increase risk to the person being trafficked, including retaliation, relocation, or escalation of control (DHS, n.d.; Polaris Project, n.d.-a).

Do not attempt to "rescue" or remove the person. Even well-intentioned removal can be experienced by the person as another act of coercion, and can cut off the carefully developed relationships and timing required for safe exit (Polaris Project, n.d.-a; OVC, n.d.).

Do not ask "Are you being trafficked?" in front of a controlling third party. Such questions in unsafe settings can place the person in immediate danger.

Do call or text the National Human Trafficking Hotline at 1-888-373-7888 or text 233733. The hotline is staffed by trained advocates 24/7 in over 200 languages and connects callers with appropriate local response (Polaris Project, n.d.-c).

Do call 911 in cases of immediate danger. Be prepared to identify the situation as suspected human trafficking and provide observations: location, descriptions, vehicles (license plate if visible), and any concerning behaviors. Do not put yourself in danger to gather more information (DHS, n.d.).

Do consider local task forces and victim service providers. Local human trafficking task forces, OVC-funded victim service providers, and state attorney general human trafficking units can provide non-emergency consultation and referral.

Within healthcare and school settings, follow trained protocols. PEARR (HEAL Trafficking) and SOAR (NHTTAC) provide structured frameworks for trauma-informed inquiry, response, and referral. These frameworks emphasize creating private space, providing trafficking education, asking open-ended questions, respecting the patient's or student's choices, and responding with appropriate referrals (HEAL Trafficking, n.d.; NHTTAC, n.d.).

For mandated reporters. California-mandated reporters who suspect child abuse or commercial sexual exploitation of a minor must report to child welfare or law enforcement under Cal. Pen. Code § 11164 et seq. Mandated reporters should consult their organizational policies and legal counsel as appropriate. Reporting child abuse does not preclude additionally calling the National Human Trafficking Hotline for consultation and support.

X. Prevention and Public Awareness

Effective recognition messaging is itself a form of prevention, but public awareness campaigns can also produce harm if they propagate inaccurate "signs," racialized depictions, or fear-based imagery. The literature is clear on several design principles.

Awareness should center on context and pattern rather than appearance and stereotype. Polaris's "Know the Story Not the Signs" framework is the field-leading approach (Polaris Project, n.d.-a). It

teaches recognition through familiarity with the people in one's actual community—coworkers, classmates, parishioners, patients, neighbors—and through attention to autonomy, freedom, and consistency over time.

Awareness should debunk widespread myths. The Polaris Project (2022) Human Trafficking Rumors analysis is a standard reference for addressing zip-tie myths, drugged-flower rumors, parking-lot abduction memes, and similar inaccuracies. Debunking should be done with care and respect for community concern, not condescension.

Awareness should explicitly address racial, gender, and class bias. Black girls, Indigenous youth, boys and men, LGBTQ+ individuals, immigrants, low-income individuals, and people experiencing homelessness are systematically under-recognized as trafficking victims; effective awareness explicitly counters this dynamic with counter-stereotypical examples (Phillips et al., 2024; Halter, 2010).

Awareness should be paired with safe-action protocols. Telling the public to "see something, say something" without clearly directing them to the National Human Trafficking Hotline, 911 for emergencies, and trained local responders has been associated with elevated false-tip rates and bystander-initiated harm (Polaris Project, 2022).

Faith-based and community-based outreach can be especially effective when survivor-led and trauma-informed, and when it explicitly disclaims rescue framing (NSN, n.d.; Hodge, 2014). Survivors should always be compensated for their participation in awareness work, and stories should be shared with informed, ongoing, and revocable consent (ACF OTIP, 2018; NSN, n.d.).

XI. Relevance to Forged in the Fire

Recognition training is one of Forged in the Fire's most scalable contributions to community anti-trafficking work, and one of the most likely to be requested by partners (schools, faith communities, healthcare systems, hospitality and transportation employers, and county task forces). The following alignment points are supported by the research.

First, Forged in the Fire can develop a core community recognition curriculum built explicitly on the "Know the Story Not the Signs" framework, integrating Blue Campaign indicator language, Polaris myth-correction content, and the PEARR/SOAR protocols where audience appropriate. This curriculum should explicitly address racial and class bias and should center survivor voice with appropriate consent, compensation, and review.

Second, Forged in the Fire can deliver sector-specific training for healthcare partners (using SOAR for Health and Wellness and PEARR), school partners (aligned with California Education Code mandates), hospitality and transportation employers (using DHS Blue Campaign and Polaris BEST resources), and faith communities (with care to avoid spiritual coercion or savior framing).

Third, Forged in the Fire can establish a community referral protocol that provides clear routing for community members who believe they have observed trafficking: National Human Trafficking Hotline first for non-emergencies, 911 for immediate danger, and Forged in the Fire as a follow-up resource for survivors and concerned community members. This protocol should be visibly available on the website and printed materials.

Fourth, Forged in the Fire can serve as a vetting partner for local awareness messaging, helping community partners avoid sensationalized imagery, racialized depictions, and inaccurate sign lists in favor of evidence-informed content.

Finally, Forged in the Fire's survivor leadership development should include opportunities for compensated lived-experience educators to participate in training delivery where survivors choose to do so, with explicit attention to consent, safety, and the survivor's own discernment about their readiness.

XII. Ethical Considerations

Recognition work raises several ethical considerations specific to this topic.

The bias of recognition. Stereotype-driven recognition systematically harms communities of color, LGBTQ+ communities, immigrant communities, and unhoused individuals, both by under-identifying actual victims and by over-identifying nonvictims as criminals. Forged in the Fire training must explicitly name and counteract these biases (Phillips et al., 2024; Halter, 2010).

Surveillance harms. Aggressive "see something, say something" framing can produce community surveillance that disproportionately targets people of color, immigrants, sex workers, and low-income individuals, and can result in encounters with law enforcement that endanger those individuals rather than protect them. Training should center safety and connection, not surveillance (Polaris Project, 2022; NSN, n.d.).

Privacy and confidentiality. Reports made by community members may include identifying information. The hotline maintains privacy protocols, but community members should be advised not to share photos, video, or identifying information on social media, in group chats, or in public posts—both to protect the person's privacy and to avoid contaminating any subsequent investigation (Polaris Project, 2022).

Avoiding survivor exploitation in awareness materials. Training and educational materials must not use survivor stories without informed, ongoing, and revocable consent; must not include identifying information without consent; should compensate survivors for content and labor; and must avoid voyeuristic, graphic, or savior-framed depictions (ACF OTIP, 2018; NSN, n.d.).

Mandated reporting clarity. When training mandated reporters, materials must accurately represent legal obligations, distinguish between mandatory and voluntary reporting, and avoid creating false impressions that all observation requires reporting.

XIII. Gaps, Challenges, and Future Research

Several recognition-related gaps remain in the field.

Outcome research is limited. Few recognition curricula have been evaluated for behavioral outcomes (referral quality, false-tip rates, survivor identification rates, harm avoided), and most evaluation focuses on participant knowledge or attitudes rather than downstream effects (Rothman et al., 2021).

Recognition of labor trafficking lags. Public and professional recognition of labor trafficking remains substantially weaker than for sex trafficking, despite likely greater prevalence; targeted recognition curricula for employers, faith communities, and immigrant-serving organizations are needed (Owens et al., 2014; DOS, 2025).

Recognition of male, LGBTQ+, and adult survivors lags. Adult male survivors, LGBTQ+ survivors, and survivors who are older than the typical "minor victim" archetype face systematically reduced recognition (Halter, 2010; DOS, 2025).

Online recognition lags behind offline. Public and parental recognition of online recruitment patterns, livestreamed exploitation, and platform-specific grooming dynamics is uneven and changes rapidly with technology shifts (NCMEC, 2024; Thorn, 2022).

Bias-aware recognition training is underdeveloped. Few mainstream curricula explicitly address racial, gender, and class bias as core competencies; integration of public-health critical race praxis is an emerging research area (Phillips et al., 2024).

Recognition fatigue and false-positive harm. Limited research has examined the cumulative effect of high-volume awareness campaigns on community responsiveness and on false-tip volume at hotlines, including downstream burden on hotline advocates and law enforcement (Polaris Project, 2022).

XIV. Recommendations

For Forged in the Fire and partner organizations, the following recommendations are evidence-informed.

First, adopt the "Know the Story Not the Signs" framework as the foundation of all community recognition curricula. Move messaging away from isolated indicator lists toward context and pattern.

Second, explicitly debunk the most common myths in every recognition session, using Polaris's empirical analyses. Address zip ties, drugged flowers, parking-lot abductions, and stranger-kidnapping framing directly.

Third, center safe response and clear hotline routing. Every recognition resource should include the National Human Trafficking Hotline (1-888-373-7888 / text 233733), 911 for immediate danger, and a clear instruction not to approach.

Fourth, incorporate bias-aware content that explicitly addresses under-recognition of Black, Indigenous, Latina, LGBTQ+, male, immigrant, unhoused, disabled, and labor trafficking survivors.

Fifth, deliver sector-specific training using existing federal and field-leading resources: SOAR (NHTTAC) and PEARR (HEAL Trafficking) for healthcare; California Education Code-aligned curricula for schools; Blue Campaign and Polaris BEST for industry partners.

Sixth, establish a survivor-reviewed content policy for all recognition materials, with compensated survivor input on framing, language, imagery, and accuracy.

Seventh, partner with the local Human Trafficking Task Force and with OVC-funded victim service providers to ensure community recognition produces appropriate referrals rather than fragmented response.

Eighth, measure outcomes, not just outputs. Track referrals generated, false-tip rates, survivor identification, and partner adoption of trauma-informed protocols, in coordination with research partners where feasible.

Ninth, maintain digital recognition content as platforms and grooming patterns evolve, in partnership with NCMEC, Thorn, and youth-serving organizations.

Tenth, avoid sensationalism in all materials. The most credible, sustainable, and ethically defensible posture is calm, careful, and accurate.

Conclusion

Recognition of human trafficking is best understood not as the detection of obvious signs, but as a sustained, context-aware, relational practice grounded in respect for survivors and humility about what bystanders and professionals can and cannot know. Effective recognition refuses stereotypes, distrusts viral imagery, and channels community concern into safe, coordinated response with trained advocates. For *Forged in the Fire*, the recognition curriculum will be one of the organization's most visible community contributions; the research record offers a clear path toward an approach that protects survivors, prevents harm, and earns long-term credibility with partners.

Annotated Bibliography

- Administration for Children and Families (ACF). (n.d.). Fact sheet: Human trafficking. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/otip/fact-sheet/resource/fshumantrafficking> Why credible: Authoritative federal agency for victim services. Useful for indicator and framework summaries.
- ACF Office on Trafficking in Persons (OTIP). (2018). Survivor-informed practice: Definition, best practices, and recommendations. Why credible: Co-developed with survivor leaders; the federal standard for survivor-informed program design, including consent, compensation, and storytelling ethics.
- California Department of Social Services. (2023). Mandated reporter guidance. <https://www.cdss.ca.gov> Why credible: Authoritative California source on mandated reporter obligations.
- California Education Code §§ 49414.4, 51934. Why credible: Primary California statutes on school-based trafficking awareness and education.
- California Health and Safety Code § 1257.7. Why credible: Primary California statute on hospital staff trafficking awareness training.
- California Penal Code § 11164 et seq. (Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act). Why credible: Primary California statute on mandated reporting of child abuse, including commercial sexual exploitation.
- Casassa, K., Knight, L., & Mengo, C. (2021). Trauma bonding perspectives from service providers and survivors of sex trafficking: A scoping review. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 23(3), 969–984. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33455528/> Why credible: Peer-reviewed scoping review; central reference for trauma bonding dynamics relevant to recognition.
- Chisolm-Straker, M., Baldwin, S., Gaïgbé-Togbé, B., Ndukwe, N., Johnson, P. N., & Richardson, L. D. (2016). Health care and human trafficking: We are seeing the unseen. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 27(3), 1220–1233. Why credible: Widely cited empirical analysis of healthcare encounter rates; foundational for healthcare recognition strategies.
- Greeson, J. K. P., Treglia, D., Wolfe, D. S., Wasch, S., & Gelles, R. J. (2019). Child welfare characteristics in a sample of youth involved in commercial sex: An exploratory study. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 94, 104038. Why credible: Peer-reviewed empirical study on child welfare and commercial sex involvement.
- Halter, S. (2010). Factors that influence police conceptualizations of girls involved in prostitution in six U.S. cities: Child sexual exploitation victims or delinquents? *Child Maltreatment*, 15(2), 152–160. Why credible: Foundational peer-reviewed study on misidentification of trafficked youth as delinquents.
- HEAL Trafficking. (n.d.). Protocol toolkit and PEARR tool. <https://www.healtrafficking.org/> Why credible: Field-leading public-health-oriented anti-trafficking coalition; PEARR is a widely adopted healthcare framework.
- Hickle, K. (2017). Resiliency and women exiting sex trade industry work. *Journal of Social Work*, 17(3), 302–323. Why credible: Peer-reviewed qualitative analysis of survivor resilience and exiting; relevant to disclosure dynamics.

- Hodge, D. R. (2014). Sexual trafficking in the United States: A domestic problem with transnational dimensions. *Social Work*, 59(2), 155–162. Why credible: Peer-reviewed scholarship integrating spirituality and trafficking; relevant to faith-based recognition.
- Hopper, E. K. (2017). Polyvictimization and developmental trauma adaptations in sex trafficked youth. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 10(2), 161–173. Why credible: Peer-reviewed empirical study on developmental trauma adaptations in trafficked youth.
- Lederer, L. J., & Wetzel, C. A. (2014). The health consequences of sex trafficking and their implications for identifying victims in healthcare facilities. *Annals of Health Law*, 23(1), 61–91. Why credible: Frequently cited empirical study supporting healthcare-based recognition.
- Murphy, L. T. (2017). Labor and sex trafficking among homeless youth. Loyola University New Orleans Modern Slavery Research Project / Covenant House. Why credible: Multi-site empirical study; foundational for understanding youth homelessness as a recognition setting.
- National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC). (2024). CyberTipline annual report. <https://www.missingkids.org> Why credible: NCMEC operates the federal CyberTipline; primary source on online child sexual exploitation patterns.
- National Human Trafficking Training and Technical Assistance Center (NHTTAC). (n.d.). SOAR to Health and Wellness. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <https://nhttac.acf.hhs.gov/soar> Why credible: Federal training infrastructure for trauma-informed identification (Stop, Observe, Ask, Respond).
- National Institute of Justice (NIJ). (2014). Improving human trafficking victim identification. U.S. Department of Justice. <https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/246712.pdf> Why credible: Federal research on identification gaps in law enforcement and service provision.
- National Survivor Network (NSN). (n.d.). Principles and resources. Coalition to Abolish Slavery and Trafficking. <https://nationalsurvivornetwork.org> Why credible: Largest U.S. survivor leadership network; authoritative for survivor-led practice and ethical storytelling.
- Office for Victims of Crime (OVC). (n.d.). Human trafficking resources. U.S. Department of Justice. <https://ovc.ojp.gov> Why credible: Federal funder and technical assistance lead for victim services.
- Owens, C., Dank, M., Breaux, J., Bañuelos, I., Farrell, A., Pfeffer, R., Bright, K., Heitsmith, R., & McDevitt, J. (2014). Understanding the organization, operation, and victimization process of labor trafficking in the United States. Urban Institute and Northeastern University. Why credible: Multi-year mixed-methods study; foundational labor trafficking source supporting recognition curricula.
- Phillips, K. E., Vereen, R. N., et al. (2024). A scoping review of racism and anti-racist solutions in the health care of people who have experienced trafficking. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12180640/> Why credible: Peer-reviewed scoping review on racial bias in healthcare identification and response.
- Polaris Project. (2017). The typology of modern slavery: Defining sex and labor trafficking in the United States. <https://polarisproject.org/the-typology-of-modern-slavery/> Why credible: Foundational typology underpinning sector-specific recognition.
- Polaris Project. (2022). Human trafficking rumors and Myths, facts, and statistics. <https://polarisproject.org/human-trafficking-rumors/> ; <https://polarisproject.org/myths-facts-and-statistics/> Why credible: Empirical analysis of widely circulated rumors; central reference for myth-correction in community education.
- Polaris Project. (2025). The 2024 hotline data is here. <https://polarisproject.org/blog/2025/06/the-2024-hotline-data-is-here-and-it-tells-a-story-of-hope-resilience-and-action/> Why credible: Most recent published U.S. National Human Trafficking Hotline statistics.

- Polaris Project. (n.d.-a). Recognizing human trafficking and Know the story not the signs. <https://polarisproject.org/recognizing-human-trafficking/> ; <https://polarisproject.org/know-the-story-not-the-signs/> Why credible: Field-leading community recognition framework grounded in hotline data.
- Polaris Project. (n.d.-b). U.S. National Human Trafficking Hotline statistics. <https://polarisproject.org/resources/us-national-human-trafficking-hotline-statistics/> Why credible: Cumulative hotline data; one of the largest publicly available U.S. trafficking datasets.
- Polaris Project. (n.d.-c). National Human Trafficking Hotline. <https://humantraffickinghotline.org> Why credible: Federally funded hotline; primary recommended community reporting resource.
- Reid, J. A. (2016). Entrapment and enmeshment schemes used by sex traffickers. *Sexual Abuse*, 28(6), 491–511. Why credible: Peer-reviewed empirical analysis of grooming schemes.
- Reid, J. A., Baglivio, M. T., Piquero, A. R., Greenwald, M. A., & Epps, N. (2017). Human trafficking of minors and childhood adversity in Florida. *American Journal of Public Health*, 107(2), 306–311. Why credible: Peer-reviewed large-sample study on adversity and minor trafficking.
- Reid, J. A., Haskell, R. A., Dillahun-Aspillaga, C., & Thor, J. A. (2018). Trauma bonding and interpersonal violence. *Behavioral Sciences*, 8(1), 11. Why credible: Peer-reviewed analysis of trauma bonding dynamics.
- Rothman, E. F., Bazzi, A. R., & Bair-Merritt, M. (2021). A qualitative study of human trafficking risk factors among youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 68(2), 332–338. Why credible: Peer-reviewed qualitative research relevant to youth recognition.
- Sanchez, R. V., Speck, P. M., & Patrician, P. A. (2019). A concept analysis of trauma coercive bonding in the commercial sexual exploitation of children. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 46, 48–54. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30852255/> Why credible: Foundational peer-reviewed concept analysis of trauma-coercive bonding.
- Thorn. (2022). Online grooming: Examining risky encounters amid everyday digital socialization. <https://www.thorn.org> Why credible: Specialized research nonprofit on technology-facilitated child sexual exploitation.
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Blue Campaign. (n.d.). Indicators of human trafficking and How to identify and report human trafficking. <https://www.dhs.gov/blue-campaign/indicators-human-trafficking> ; <https://www.dhs.gov/blue-campaign/identify-victim> Why credible: Federal public-awareness lead; authoritative for indicator language and safe reporting protocols.
- U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). (2024). Human trafficking and key legislation. <https://www.justice.gov/humantrafficking> Why credible: Federal lead for trafficking prosecution.
- U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). (n.d.). Combating forced labor and human trafficking. <https://www.dol.gov> Why credible: Federal labor authority on labor trafficking indicators.
- U.S. Department of State (DOS). (2025). 2025 Trafficking in Persons report. <https://www.state.gov/reports/2025-trafficking-in-persons-report/> Why credible: Annual congressionally mandated report; primary U.S. government global trafficking assessment.
- 22 U.S. Code § 7102 (Trafficking Victims Protection Act definitions). Why credible: Controlling federal statutory definition.
- Self-Audit (per Forged in the Fire Quality Control Checklist)
- Major claims are cited to federal, peer-reviewed, or field-leading sources. Statistics are tied to documented hotline, ILO, and peer-reviewed reports. The paper explicitly addresses myth-correction, racial and class bias, and the documented harms of misidentification. Trauma-informed and survivor-centered language is used throughout; sensationalism, graphic detail, and savior framing are avoided. Safe response protocols are clearly stated.

The paper is structured to support nonprofit website content, sector-specific training development, and grant applications focused on recognition and identification programming. Limitations of indicator-based recognition are explicitly acknowledged.

DHS Blue Campaign – Indicators of Human Trafficking

DHS Blue Campaign – How to Identify and Report Human Trafficking

Polaris – Recognizing Human Trafficking

Polaris – Know the Story Not the Signs

Polaris – Myths, Facts, and Statistics

Polaris – Human Trafficking Rumors

Polaris – Awareness vs. Understanding of Human Trafficking

NHTTAC – SOAR to Health and Wellness

NHTTAC – Health Care Providers Resources

HEAL Trafficking

Phillips et al. – Racism in Healthcare for Trafficking Survivors (PMC)

NIJ – Gaps in Reporting Human Trafficking Incidents

NIJ – Improving Human Trafficking Victim Identification (PDF)

This publication is provided for educational and informational purposes only. It is not legal advice, medical advice, mental health advice, or a substitute for trained professional support. Individuals who may be experiencing trafficking or exploitation should contact the National Human Trafficking Hotline at 1-888-373-7888, text 233733, or visit humantraffickinghotline.org. If there is immediate danger, call 911.